



#### Contents lists available at Curevita Journals

## Frontiers of Agri and animal Innovation

journal homepage: www.curevitajournals.com



# Study of Polymorphism at MHC B-L\(\beta\) (Class II) Family Alleles Using PCR-SSP in Naked Neck Chickens

Amit Kumar Jha, M. S. Thakur, S.S. Tomar and R. K. Vandre

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary Science and A.H.(NDVSU), Rewa, (M.P.), India.

#### Articalinfo

**Article history:** Received 22 June 2025, Revised 18 Aug 2025, Accepted 20 Aug 2025, Published Sept 2025

**Keywords:** MHC, B-L $\beta$  gene, polymorphism, PCR-SSP, Naked Neck chicken, disease resistance.

Corresponding author: Amit Kumar Jha, Assistant Professor, Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary Science and A.H.(NDVSU), Rewa, (M.P.), India.

Email ID: jha.amit002@gmail.com

Citation: Jha Amit Kumar, Thakur M. S. , Tomar S.S. and Vandre R. K. 2025. Study of Polymorphism at MHC B-L $\beta$  (Class II) Family Alleles Using PCR-SSP in Naked Neck Chickens. Frontiers of Agri & Animal Innovation. 1,1,64-78.

**Publisher: Curevita Research Pvt Ltd** 

#### Abstract

The Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) plays a crucial role in disease resistance and immune response in poultry. This study investigated the polymorphism at MHC B-Lβ (class II) family alleles in Naked Neck chickens using the Polymerase Chain Reaction-Sequence Specific Primers (PCR-SSP) technique. Sixty Naked Neck chickens were analyzed for five standard haplotypes ( $B_2$ ,  $B_{13}$ ,  $B_{15}$ ,  $B_{19}$ , and  $B_{21}$ ). The results revealed significant genetic diversity with 10 distinct genotypes identified. Allele B<sub>19</sub> showed the highest frequency (0.392), followed by B<sub>15</sub> (0.258), B<sub>2</sub> (0.183),  $B_{21}$  (0.125), and  $B_{13}$  (0.042). The population showed Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium ( $\chi^2 = 36.179$ , P<0.05), indicating non-random mating or selection pressure. These findings provide valuable insights into the genetic structure of Naked Neck chickens and their potential for disease resistance breeding programs.



### Introduction

The poultry industry faces significant challenges from infectious diseases, particularly coccidiosis, which causes substantial economic losses worldwide. In India alone, coccidiosisrelated losses were estimated at Rs 1.14 billion in 2003-04. Traditional disease control methods rely heavily chemotherapeutics, but on the of drug-resistant emergence pathogens and restrictions on antimicrobial necessitate use alternative approaches. Genetic resistance offers а sustainable for improving solution disease poultry. The Major resistance in Histocompatibility Complex (MHC), initially known as the 'B Complex' in chickens, represents one of the most extensively studied gene systems associated with immune response and disease resistance. The MHC is a

polymorphic, multigene system whose products play a primary role in presentation Т antigen to lymphocytes during humoral and cellmediated immune responses. Naked Neck chickens, characterized by their distinctive featherless neck region, represent an important genetic resource with potential advantages in tropical climates. However, limited information exists regarding MHC polymorphism in this breed. This study aims to characterize the genetic diversity at MHC B-Lβ (class II) family alleles in Naked Neck chickens using PCR-SSP methodology, providing foundational data for future breeding programs focused on disease resistance.

### **Materials and Methods**

# **Experimental Birds**



Sixty-day-old Naked Neck chicks were obtained from the Central Avian Research Institute, Izatnagar, Uttar Pradesh. Fertile eggs were hatched at the Department of Poultry Science, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University (NDVSU), Jabalpur.

### **Housing and Management**

Birds were maintained in brooder batteries under controlled conditions with continuous lighting. Ambient temperature was gradually decreased from 32°C on day 1 to 20°C at the experiment's end. Standard chick diet free of anti-coccidial additives and sanitized tap water were provided ad libitum. Strict coccidian-free conditions were maintained throughout the experimental period.

#### **Blood Collection and DNA Isolation**

Blood samples (1 ml) were collected from the wing vein of each bird using EDTA vacutainers. Genomic DNA was extracted using the method described by John *et al.* (1991) with minor modifications. The protocol involved:

- Blood lysis using solution-I (10mM Tris, 10mM KCI, 10mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 7.6)
- Nuclear lysis with solution-2 containing SDS
- Phenol-chloroform extraction
- DNA precipitation with sodium acetate and ethanol
- Final resuspension in 0.3X TE buffer

#### **DNA Quality Assessment**

DNA concentration and purity were assessed using UV spectrophotometry (NanoDrop 1000, Thermo Scientific).



Samples with  $OD_{260}/_{280}$ ratios between 1.7-1.9 were considered acceptable. DNA quality was confirmed through 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis, and samples were 30 diluted to ng/ul PCR amplification.

### **PCR-SSP Analysis**

### **Primary PCR Amplification**

Initial amplification of MHC B-Lβ II family genes was performed using degenerate primers:

- Forward: 5'-CG TTC TTC TTC TRC
   GGT RBG AT-3'
- Reverse: 5'-TA GTT GTG CCG
   GCA GAM CSY G-3'

PCR conditions included initial denaturation at 94°C for 10 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (94°C, 45s), annealing (54°C, 40s), and

extension (72°C, 30s), with final extension at 72°C for 15 minutes.

### **Sequence-Specific PCR**

Five haplotype-specific primer pairs were used for PCR-SSP targeting  $B_2$ ,  $B_{13}$ ,  $B_{15}$ ,  $B_{19}$ , and  $B_{21}$  alleles. Diluted primary PCR products (1:10) were used as templates with specific annealing temperatures and cycle numbers optimized for each haplotype.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Allelic and genotypic frequencies were calculated using PopGene 32 software. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was tested using chi-square analysis. Homogeneity of allele distribution was assessed across different genetic groups.

#### **Results and Discussions**

## **DNA Quality and PCR Amplification**



All DNA samples showed high purity with  $OD_{260}/_{280}$  ratios between 1.7-1.9. Primary PCR amplification yielded a 235 bp product in all samples, confirming successful amplification of MHC B-L $\beta$  II family genes.

Allele	Frequency
B <sub>19</sub>	0.392
B <sub>15</sub>	0.258
B <sub>2</sub>	0.183
B <sub>21</sub>	0.125
B <sub>13</sub>	0.042

## **Allelic Frequency Distribution**

Five alleles were detected in the Naked Neck population with varying frequencies:

Allele  $B_{19}$  was most prevalent, accounting for nearly 40% of all alleles, while  $B_{13}$  showed the lowest frequency at 4.2%.

## **Genotypic Frequency Distribution**



Ten distinct genotypes were identified in the Naked Neck population:

Genotype	Number of Birds	Frequency
B <sub>15</sub> B <sub>19</sub>	13	0.217
B <sub>19</sub> B <sub>19</sub>	13	0.217
B <sub>2</sub> B <sub>19</sub>	8	0.133
B <sub>2</sub> B <sub>15</sub>	6	0.100
B <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	4	0.067
B <sub>15</sub> B <sub>21</sub>	4	0.067
B <sub>21</sub> B <sub>21</sub>	4	0.067
B <sub>13</sub> B <sub>21</sub>	3	0.050
B <sub>15</sub> B <sub>15</sub>	3	0.050
B <sub>13</sub> B <sub>15</sub>	2	0.033

The heterozygous genotype  $B_{15}B_{19}$  and homozygous genotype  $B_{19}B_{19}$  were equally prevalent, each representing 21.7% of the population.

## **Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium Analysis**

Chi-square analysis revealed significant deviation from Hardy-

Weinberg equilibrium ( $\chi^2$  = 36.179, P<0.05), indicating that the Naked Neck population was not in genetic equilibrium at the MHC B-L $\beta$  locus.



### **Genetic Diversity**

The immune competence of the host could be evaluated using certain immune related parameters such as the antibody production, lymphocyte proliferation, phagocyte activity, parasite load etc. (Bacon, 1987, Bumstead et al., 1995 and Briles et al., 1977). These immune parameters describe the immune responsiveness be correlated that could resistance or susceptibility to a pathogen. Yet, it is essential to discern the molecular basis for variation in the disease immune response and resistance. The main mediators in the communication of the immune cells are membrane proteins such as molecules of the maior histocompatibility complex (MHC), T cell receptors (TcR) and immunoglobulins (B cell receptors), and secreted proteins such cytokines and antibodies (Hawken et

al., 1998). At the molecular level, a difference in immune competence could mainly be attributed to the efficacy of the immune mediator molecules and their diversity. Due to the complexity of the immune system, the actual functional mechanism of each immune mediator protein and its marker significance disease in resistance is obscure. In the chicken, the association between MHC polymorphism and resistance susceptibility differences to infectious pathogens had long been recognized (Briles al.. 1977 et and Lamount, 1991).

In most reports, the MHC is outlined in association to resistance or susceptibility to specific agents such as the Marek's disease virus. Yet, the role of the other immune mediator proteins and their immunogenetics in disease resistance differences is less described in chickens (Lillehoj *et al.*,



2007), Chapman and Jeffers, 2024). The uniqueness of the MHC molecule in each individual makes the immune response to vary between the MHC haplotypes. Since the T cell response is restricted to MHC-bound antigenic fragments, the diversity of the MHC's antigen-binding region has crucial immunological consequences for the induction of the adaptive immune responses (Lamount, 1991). In the present study, analysis for genetic aspects of immune response in particular the role of MHC (B-L\beta II which is the genes), best characterized family of host genes, modulating response to a variety of antigens and pathogenic challenges was conducted using PCR-SSP.

## **Population Genetic Structure**

The significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium indicates non-random mating patterns or

selection pressures acting on the MHC locus. This could result from: Selective breeding practices favoring certain MHC alleles, population bottlenecks founder effects, non-random mating based on MHC genotypes and selection pressure from pathogen exposure. The differences in allelic frequencies observed in the present study might be because different populations of different breeds maintained under different sets of environmental conditions are subject to different evolutionary forces to varying degree. In addition, sampling fluctuations might also have contributed to the differences in allelic frequencies in different breeds and populations. Further, intermixing of different populations from locations geographical and hybridization accompanied by genetic difference might have also



contributed to this high degree of genetic diversity among breeds/lines.

The equilibrium genetic condition observed in some populations may be due to random mating for MHC genotypes over the generations. The other probable reasons for this may be non-selective advantages for the different MHC alleles over each other, different reproductive and survival rates of different genotypes and state of balance between different forces which change the gene frequencies. However, the genetic disequilibrium observed in Naked Neck population may be attributed to absence of factors responsible for maintenance of genetic equilibrium.

## **Implications for Disease Resistance**

The presence of multiple MHC alleles in Naked Neck chickens provides a broad genetic foundation

for immune response diversity. The high frequency of  $B_{19}$  allele may indicate its association with favorable immune traits in this population. The substantial presence of heterozygous genotypes (particularly  $B_{15}B_{19}$ ) suggests potential heterozygote advantage in immune function.

# PCR-SSP Methodology and Technical Considerations

A set of degenerating primers was used for carrying out first round of PCR amplification in the present study. Both upstream and downstream primers were degenerate at few positions to optimize amplification of all known chicken B-L\( \beta \) II genes family alleles. PCR-SSP technique is one of the variations of PCR based on the amplification of the refractory mutation system (ARMS) method



(Newton et al.,1989) using sequence specific primers developed by (Ollerup and Zetterquist, 1993) and Zheng et al.,1999) proposed the method as an adjunct to serological typing for Bhaplotypes. Accordingly, PCR-SSP was not performed directly from chicken genomic DNA, but instead amplified B-LβII loci with B-LβII family primers as the first step. This primary PCR step served two purposes: one was to generate B-L\(\beta\)II family specific DNA templates for the secondary PCR-SSP; the other was to ensure that all the DNA samples and reaction conditions were appropriate for the PCR test.

The secondary PCR amplification i.e. sequence specific polymerase chain reaction for targeted haplotypes i.e. B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>13</sub>, B<sub>15</sub>, B<sub>19</sub> and B<sub>21</sub> yielded amplicons of 222 bp, 141 bp, 222 bp, 213 bp and 213 bp size, respectively in the present study. Similar findings pertaining to base pair size of the

above haplotypes were also reported by Zheng et al. (1999) who also used the PCR-SSP method to type B-LB II family alleles in broiler chicken. They observed that haplotypes with identical B-L\( \beta \) II family sequences produced identical reaction patterns PCR-SSP: BA₄ and in B<sub>21</sub>, BA<sub>7</sub> reacted haplotypes identically, despite differing in the B-G regions. In their study most of the B homozygotes yielded strong amplification products with only one primer pair with the exception of BA<sub>8</sub>, BA<sub>9</sub> and BA<sub>12</sub> haplotypes. Findings similar to the present one have also been reported by (Shanaz et al., 2005) in Bantam, Bantamised White leghorn (BWLH) and White Leghorn (WLH) with regards to amplification pattern of standard haplotypes used in the present study. Understanding MHC polymorphism in Naked Neck chickens enables:



- Development of markerassisted selection programs for disease resistance
- Maintenance of genetic diversity in breeding populations
- Strategic crossbreeding to optimize immune competence
- Conservation of valuable genetic resources

# Comparative Analysis with Other Chicken Breeds

Compared to other chicken breeds studied using similar methodologies, Naked Neck chickens showed unique allelic distribution patterns. Similar study for MHC haplotype conducted on Bantam, Bantamised White leghorn (BWLH) and White Leghorn (WLH) was reported by Shanaz *et al.* 2005. In their study, different frequency for different haplotypes were reported.

Allele  $B_{19}$  (0.680) was predominant followed by  $B_{15}$  (0.263) and  $B_2$  (0.055) in Bantam, with B<sub>21</sub> being absent in this genetic group. In Bantamised White leghorn (BWLH),  $B_{19}$  (0.486) and B<sub>15</sub> (0.444)were observed predominantly followed by  $B_2$  (0.065), whereas in WLH,  $B_{15}$  (0.402) had the highest frequency followed by B<sub>19</sub> (0.333), B<sub>2</sub> (0.138) and B<sub>21</sub> (0.125). Allele B<sub>21</sub> was observed only in WLH at lower frequency. The relatively high frequency of B<sub>21</sub> allele (12.5%) in Naked Neck chickens distinguishes from this population manv commercial breeds where this allele is often absent rare. In the or comparative study by Shanaz et al. (2005),  $B_{21}$  allele was completely absent in Bantam chickens and present only in White Leghorn at 12.5% frequency, similar to our findings in Naked Neck chickens.



Regarding genotypic distribution, in Bantam genetic group, predominantly two genotypes,  $B_{15}B_{19}$  and  $B_{19}B_{19}$  were observed with respective frequencies of 0.416 and 0.472. Other two genotypes, B<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>15</sub>B<sub>15</sub> were observed at equal frequencies (0.055). In Bantamised WLH, five genotypes observed, among were them heterozygote B<sub>15</sub>B<sub>19</sub> (0.832)predominant while B<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>19</sub>B<sub>19</sub> and  $B_2B_{19}$ ,  $B_{15}B_{15}$  were observed at low frequencies. In WLH, nine genotypes were observed, in that homozygous  $B_{15}B_{15}$  (0.249) and  $B_{19}B_{19}$  (0.194) were predominant followed by B<sub>15</sub>B<sub>19</sub> (0.138),  $B_2B_{15}$ ,  $B_2B_{19}$  and  $B_{15}B_{21}$ , equally at 0.082 and  $B_2B_2$ ,  $B_{19}B_{21}$  and  $B_{21}B_{21}$ equally at 0.055.

**Conclusions** 

This study successfully characterized MHC B-Lβ (class II) polymorphism in Naked Neck chickens using PCR-SSP

methodology. The findings reveal significant genetic diversity with five alleles and ten genotypes, nonrandom distribution of MHC alleles indicating selection pressure, potential for utilizing this genetic diversity in disease resistance breeding and need for further investigation into functional significance of identified alleles. The genetic disequilibrium observed suggests ongoing evolutionary forces shaping the MHC diversity in this population. These results provide a foundation for developing breeding strategies that leverage MHC diversity to enhance disease resistance while maintaining the unique characteristics of Naked Neck chickens.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors acknowledge the Central Avian Research Institute, Izatnagar for providing the Naked Neck breeding



stock and the Madhya Pradesh Biotechnology Council for funding support.

#### **References:**

Bacon, L. D., Hunt, H. D., & Cheng, H. H. (2000). A review of the development of chicken lines to resolve genes determining resistance to diseases. *Poultry Science*, 79(8), 1082-1093.

Bumstead, N. (1996). Genetic resistance to avian viruses. *Revue Scientifique et Technique*, 15(1), 249-262.

Delany, M. E., & Pisenti, J. M. (1999). Genetic diversity and conservation of poultry. In *Poultry Genetics, Breeding and Biotechnology* (pp. 45-60). CABI Publishing.

Gavora, J. S. (1990). Disease genetics. In *Poultry Breeding and Genetics* (pp. 805-846). Elsevier Science Publishers.

Hawken, R. J., Beattie, C. W., Schook, L. B., & Witter, R. L. (1998). DNA markers for the chicken major histocompatibility complex. *Immunogenetics*, 48(4), 255-267.

Bacon, L. D. (1987). Influence of the major histocompatibility complex on disease resistance and productivity. *Poultry Science*, 66(5), 802-811.

Bumstead, N., Millard, B., Barrow, P., & Cook, J. K. (1995). Genetic basis of disease resistance in chickens. *British Poultry Science*, 36(5), 687-696.

Heller, E. D., Leitner, G., Drabkin, N., & Melamed, D. (1992). Passive immunisation of chicks against *Escherichia coli* by yolk antibodies. *Veterinary Record*, 131(15), 340-342.

Briles, W. E., Stone, H. A., & Cole, R. K. (1977). Marek's disease: effects of B histocompatibility alloalleles in resistant and susceptible chicken lines. *Science*, 195(4274), 193-195.

Lamont, S. J. (1991). The chicken major histocompatibility complex and disease. *Revue Scientifique et Technique*, 10(1), 119-132.

Lillehoj, H. S., Min, W., & Dalloul, R. A. (2007). Recent progress on the cytokine regulation of intestinal

immune responses to *Eimeria*. *Poultry Science*, 83(4), 611-623.

Chapman, H. D., & Jeffers, T. K. (2014). Vaccination of chickens against coccidiosis ameliorates drug resistance in commercial poultry production. *International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance*, 4(3), 214-217.

Newton, C. R., Graham, A., Heptinstall, L. E., Powell, S. J., Summers, C., Kalsheker, N., ... & Markham, A. F. (1989). Analysis of any point mutation in DNA. The amplification refractory mutation system (ARMS). *Nucleic Acids Research*, 17(7), 2503-2516.

Ollerup, O., & Zetterquist, H. (1993). HLA-DR typing by PCR amplification with sequence-specific primers (PCR-SSP) in 2 hours: an alternative to serological DR typing in clinical practice including donor-recipient matching in cadaveric transplantation. *Tissue Antigens*, 39(5), 225-235.

Zheng, X., Lamont, S. J., Aarts, H. J., Martin, A., Delany, M. E., Pinard, M. H., & Van der Poel, J. J. (1999). Sequence polymorphisms in the chicken MHC B-LβII gene. *Animal Genetics*, 30(5), 355-362.

Shanaz, S., Reddy, P. R. K., Prakash, M. G., Radhika, G., & Chatterjee, R. N. (2005). MHC polymorphism in three chicken populations of India. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4(12), 1009-1015.

Bera, A. K., Bhattacharya, D., Pan, D., Dhara, A., Kumar, S., & Das, S. K. (2010). Evaluation of economic losses due to coccidiosis in poultry industry in India. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 23(1), 91-96.

Briles, W. E., & Briles, R. W. (1982). Identification of haplotypes of the chicken major histocompatibility complex (B). *Immunogenetics*, 15(5), 449-459.

Briles, W. E., Goto, R. M., Auffray, C., & Miller, M. M. (1993). A polymorphic system related to but genetically independent of the chicken major



histocompatibility complex. *Immunogenetics*, 37(6), 408-414.

Juul-Madsen, H. R., Hedemand, J. E., Salomonsen, J., Simonsen, M., & Værn, H. J. (1993). Molecular cloning and characterization of the chicken CD8α gene. *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology*, 38(5), 421-427. Kaiser, P., Poh, T. Y., Rothwell, L., Avery, S., Balu, S., Pathania, U. S., ... & Behboudi, S. (2005). A genomic analysis of chicken cytokines and chemokines. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research*, 25(8), 467-484.

Kaufman, J., & Lamont, S. J. (1996). The chicken B locus. In *The Major Histocompatibility Complex Region of Domestic Animal Species* (pp. 109-138). CRC Press.

Lamont, S. J. (1998). The chicken major histocompatibility complex in disease resistance and poultry breeding. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 81(10), 2702-2710.

Lillehoj, H. S., & Choi, K. D. (1998). Recombinant chicken interferon-gamma-mediated inhibition of *Eimeria tenella* development in vitro and reduction of oocyst production and body weight loss following *Eimeria acervulina* challenge infection. *Avian Diseases*, 42(2), 307-314.

Lowenthal, J. W., Connick, T. E., McWaters, P. G., & York, J. J. (1997). Development of T cell immune responsiveness in the chicken. *Immunology and Cell Biology*, 75(3), 275-283.

Lowenthal, J. W., York, J. J., O'Neil, T. E., Rhodes, S., Prowse, S. J., Strom, D. G., & Digby, M. R. (1998). In vivo effects of chicken interferon-y during infection with *Eimeria*. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research*, 18(5), 307-312.

Pharr, G. T., Dodgson, J. B., Bacon, L. D., & Lamont, S. J. (1993). Chicken major histocompatibility complexencoded class II  $\beta$  sequences. *Immunogenetics*, 38(5), 323-329.

Rautenschlein, S., Yeh, H. Y., & Sharma, J. M. (1999). The role of T cells in protection by an inactivated infectious bursal disease virus vaccine. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 71(3-4), 217-233

Soller, M., & Andersson, L. (1998). Genomic approaches to the improvement of disease resistance in farm animals. *Revue Scientifique et Technique*, 17(1), 329-345.

Steel, R. G. D., & Torrie, J. H. (1980). *Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.

Wang, L., Li, M., Cao, C., Chen, F., Zhang, J., Xu, Y., ... & Chen, J. (2014). Molecular characterization of the

MHC class II B-L  $\beta$  gene in Chinese indigenous chickens. *Immunogenetics*, 66(4), 257-266.

Xu, X., Hu, X., Lu, L., Liu, A., Wang, K., Chen, Y., & Zhang, C. (2007). Genetic diversity of MHC-B-LβII gene in Chinese indigenous chickens. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 20(4), 491-498.

Yeh, F. C., Yang, R., & Boyle, T. (1999). *POPGENE Version 1.32: Microsoft Window-based Freeware for Population Genetic Analysis*. University of Alberta, Edmonton.

Yonash, N., Cheng, H. H., Hillel, J., Heller, D. E., & Cahaner, A. (1999). DNA microsatellites linked to quantitative trait loci affecting antibody response and survival rate in meat-type chickens. *Poultry Science*, 78(3), 314-323.

Yonash, N., Hillel, J., Heller, D. E., & Cahaner, A. (2000). Detection of trait-associated DNA differences by amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) in commercial chickens. *Animal Genetics*, 31(6), 359-364.

Zoorob, R., Bernot, A., Renoir, D. M., Choukri, F., & Auffray, C. (1993). Chicken major histocompatibility complex class II B gene polymorphism. *Immunogenetics*, 37(6), 417-427.d Immunopathology\*, 71(3-4), 217-233.

Soller, M., & Andersson, L. (1998). Genomic approaches to the improvement of disease resistance in farm animals. *Revue Scientifique et Technique*, 17(1), 329-345.

Steel, R. G. D., & Torrie, J. H. (1980). *Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.

Wang, L., Li, M., Cao, C., Chen, F., Zhang, J., Xu, Y., ... & Chen, J. (2014). Molecular characterization of the MHC class II B-L  $\beta$  gene in Chinese indigenous chickens. *Immunogenetics*, 66(4), 257-266.

Xu, X., Hu, X., Lu, L., Liu, A., Wang, K., Chen, Y., & Zhang, C. (2007). Genetic diversity of MHC-B-LβII gene in Chinese indigenous chickens. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 20(4), 491-498

Yeh, F. C., Yang, R., & Boyle, T. (1999). *POPGENE Version 1.32: Microsoft Window-based Freeware for Population Genetic Analysis*. University of Alberta, Edmonton.

Yonash, N., Cheng, H. H., Hillel, J., Heller, D. E., & Cahaner, A. (1999). DNA microsatellites linked to quantitative trait loci affecting antibody response and survival rate in meat-type chickens. *Poultry Science*, 78(3), 314-323.



#### Frontiers of Agri and animal Innovation (FAAI), Vol 1, Issue 1, 2025

Yonash, N., Hillel, J., Heller, D. E., & Cahaner, A. (2000). Detection of trait-associated DNA differences by amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) in commercial chickens. *Animal Genetics*, 31(6), 359-364

Zoorob, R., Bernot, A., Renoir, D. M., Choukri, F., & Auffray, C. (1993). Chicken major histocompatibility complex class II B gene polymorphism. *Immunogenetics*, 37(6), 417-427.

Heller, E. D., Pinard, M. H., Briles, W. E., Dunnington, E. A., & Siegel, P. B. (1991). Lymphocyte responses to various mitogens and to *Salmonella enteritidis* in lines of chickens selected for high or low antibody response to sheep red blood cells. *Poultry Science*, 70(12), 2589-2594.

John, S. W., Weitzner, G., Rozen, R., & Scriver, C. R. (1991). A rapid procedure for extracting genomic DNA from leukocytes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 19(2), 408. Harvey, W. R. (1990). *User's guide for LSMLMW and MIXMDL PC-2 version*. Ohio State University, Columbus, USA.

Davies, S. F., Joyner, L. P., & Kendall, S. B. (1963). *Coccidiosis*. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh.

Jones, R. C., Worthington, K. J., Capua, I., & Naylor, C. J. (2001). Efficacy of live infectious bronchitis vaccines against a novel European genotype, Italy 02. *Veterinary Record*, 149(20), 583-589.d Immunopathology\*, 71(3-4), 217-233.